

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,516	02/10/2000	ERMANNO GHERARDI	1090-26 6832 EXAMINER	
23117 7	590 06/16/2004			
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD			HAYES, ROBERT CLINTON	
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			1647	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/423,516	GHERARDI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ition. A proper reply to a places the application in				
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFF f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: 64-79.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 80-86.		Asu de Kun				
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square appr						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	,					
	14	CHNOLOGY CENTER 4600				
(CH						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: a) Applicants have changed all claims to now depend on SEQ ID NO:3 with different mutation recitations, versus SEQ ID NO:2 which was the sequence previously searched and examined; thereby, requiring further search and consideration. c) Since it is unclear whether the current mutated HGF sequences have proper basis within the instant specification, the issue of new matteR is raised.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are directed to claim amendments not entered, and are further not commensurate in scope with that described within the instant specification for the reasons previously made of record; thereby, being moot. It is also noted that lack of unity is based upon what original claim 1 recited, and whether original claim 1 possessed a special technical feature; and not on that recited in subsequent claims. Therefore, Applicants' arguments concerning rejoining new claims directed to different and unique inventions are not persuasive.

GASTY KUNZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600